

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/657,661	09/08/2003	Hiroshi Kashiwagi	KON-1821	2782	
7590 12/16/2004 Muserlian, Lucas and Mercanti, LLP			EXAMINER		
475 Park Ave Se	outh		CHEA, 1	CHEA, THORL	
New York, NY 10016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1752		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	v			
Office Action Summany	10/657,661	KASHIWAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this and the	Thorl Chea	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Se</u>	<u>eptember 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		,				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		1011011011111 10-10Z.				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	ariarity under 35 H S C & 110(a)	/dl a= /6)				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	monty under 33 0.3.6. § 113(a)-	(a) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau ((PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ani una mauonai atage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not received	1				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-413)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of Informal Pat	tent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,661

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukui et al (US 2002/0102502).

See Fukui et al column 38, claim 1, 11; pages 23, Example 1, [241] to [0273] to pages 24, 26; Table 1, samples 7-9, 14-16 which discloses a photothermographic material containing silver halide, silver salt of an aliphatic carboxylic acid, reducing agent and the compound of formula (1) of the present claimed invention. See also the silver halide grains having grain size of 0.01 to 0.15 micron in [0099], doped with hexacyanometal complex in amount of 10⁻⁵ to 10⁻² mole per mole of silver halide including metal complexes of iridium, rhodium and iridium in 0101] to [0112]; the preferable long-chain aliphatic carboxylic acid having grain size of 0.01 to 0.23 in [0086] to [0091]; the preferable silver bromide and silver iodobromide in [0097]. Fukui discloses a photothermographic material having composition as claimed, and therefore, the invention as claimed lacks novelty. The property such as SB/SA is the characteristic of the material after development, and this characteristic would be inherent to the material of Fukui because of the same composition thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/657,661

Art Unit: 1752

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kudo (US Patent No. 6,808,872).

See Kubo columns 68-72, claims 1, 7, 16. Claims, 1 7, 16 which contains organic silver salt grains, silver halide grains a reducing agent and the compound of formula (10 of the claimed invention; the preferred silver salt of long chain fatty acid in column 17, lines 65-68. The silver salt of salt of long chain fatty acid is preferred by Kudo, and the worker of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that the silver salt in claim 1, 7, 16 of Kubo would encompasses the preferred silver salt of long chain fatty acid. Therefore, the claimed invention lacks novelty. Alternatively, the worker of ordinary skill in the art would have selected the organic silver salt disclosed therein with a reasonable expectation of success of obtaining a highly useful photothermographic material.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 16 of U.S. Patent No. US Patent No. 6,808,872. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of organic silver salt grains encompasses light-insensitive silver salt of an aliphatic carboxylic acid claimed in the present claimed invention.

Conclusion

- 8. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571) 272-1328. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,661

Art Unit: 1752

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea December 7, 2004

Thorl Chea Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752